

## **RVL Supervisory Continuum**

**1.A. Rubric Understanding** Evidence cited is directly tied to appropriate indicators of practice on an instructional framework and accurately represents levels of performance.

**1.B. Balance of Evidence** Qualitative and quantitative evidence cited in feedback is aligned, appropriate and facilitates targeted growth and improvement.

**1.C. Analysis of Impact** Evidence cited is focused on meaningful student engagement and learning and is directly connected to a specific teaching strategy and/or teacher action and impact on students.

1.D Supportive Yet Critical Feedback contains areas of strengths and areas of growth explicitly connected to the indicator and evidence and developed based on indicator language/key levers between ratings.
1.E Awareness of Bias Evidence cited is objectively stated without opinion.
1.F Clear Communication Feedback report serves as a comprehensive learning tool containing clearly articulated evidence-based feedback



## **RVL Supervisory Continuum**

**1.A. Rubric Understanding** Evidence cited is directly tied to appropriate indicators of practice on an instructional framework and accurately represents levels of performance.

**1.B. Balance of Evidence** Qualitative and quantitative evidence cited in feedback is aligned, appropriate and facilitates targeted growth and improvement.

**1.C. Analysis of Impact** Evidence cited is focused on meaningful student engagement and learning and is directly connected to a specific teaching strategy and/or teacher action and impact on students.

1.D Supportive Yet Critical Feedback contains areas of strengths and areas of growth explicitly connected to the indicator and evidence and developed based on indicator language/key levers between ratings.
1.E Awareness of Bias Evidence cited is objectively stated without opinion.
1.F Clear Communication Feedback report serves as a comprehensive learning tool containing clearly articulated evidence-based feedback



**RVL Supervisory Continuum 1.A. Rubric Understanding** Evidence cited is directly tied to appropriate indicators of practice on an instructional framework and accurately represents levels of performance. **1.B. Balance of Evidence** Qualitative and quantitative evidence cited in feedback is aligned, appropriate and facilitates targeted growth and improvement. **1.C. Analysis of Impact** Evidence cited is focused on meaningful student engagement and learning and is directly connected to a specific teaching strategy and/or teacher action and impact on students. **1.D Supportive Yet Critical** Feedback contains areas of strengths and areas of growth explicitly connected to the indicator and evidence and developed based on indicator language/key levers between ratings. **1.E Awareness of Bias** Evidence cited is objectively stated without opinion. **1.F Clear Communication** Feedback report serves as a comprehensive learning tool containing clearly articulated evidence-based feedback and explicit connections.

and explicit connections.

and explicit connections.



## Self-Assessment

**1.A. Rubric Understanding** Are your claims aligned to the framework? Are performance levels/claims clearly supported? Can the teacher clearly understand the rating or claim?

**1.B. Balance of Evidence** Are you missing evidence? Is the evidence just listed vs. promoting growth, supporting a claim, and building teacher understanding?

**1.C. Analysis of Impact** Are you summarizing events vs. analyzing to show a teacher the cause/effect relationships? Are clear connections made about the teacher impact on engagement and learning?

**1.D Supportive Yet Critical** Are the areas of strength and growth clear based on impact on learners? Are they supported by collected evidence and defined by the instructional framework?

**1.E Awareness of Bias** Are you using evidence to remain objective?

**1.F Clear Communication** Does your feedback serve as a learning tool? Have you prepared reflective questions? Is there an actionable next step for the teacher?





Self-Assessment	
1.A. Rubric Understanding Are your	
claims aligned to the framework? Are	
performance levels/claims clearly	
supported? Can the teacher clearly	
understand the rating or claim?	
1.B. Balance of Evidence Are you	
missing evidence? Is the evidence just	
listed vs. promoting growth,	
supporting a claim, and building	
teacher understanding?	
1.C. Analysis of Impact Are you	
summarizing events vs. analyzing to	
show a teacher the cause/effect	
relationships? Are clear connections	
made about the teacher impact on	
engagement and learning?	
1.D Supportive Yet Critical Are the	
areas of strength and growth clear	
based on impact on learners? Are	
they supported by collected evidence	
and defined by the instructional	
framework?	
1.E Awareness of Bias Are you using	
evidence to remain objective?	
1.F Clear Communication Does your	
feedback serve as a learning tool?	
Have you prepared reflective	
questions? Is there an actionable next	
step for the teacher?	

## Self-Assessment 1.A. Rubric Understanding Are your claims aligned to the framework? Are performance levels/claims clearly

supported? Can the teacher clearly understand the rating or claim?

**1.B. Balance of Evidence** Are you missing evidence? Is the evidence just listed vs. promoting growth, supporting a claim, and building teacher understanding?

**1.C. Analysis of Impact** Are you summarizing events vs. analyzing to show a teacher the cause/effect relationships? Are clear connections made about the teacher impact on engagement and learning?

**1.D Supportive Yet Critical** Are the areas of strength and growth clear based on impact on learners? Are they supported by collected evidence and defined by the instructional framework?

**1.E Awareness of Bias** Are you using evidence to remain objective?

**1.F Clear Communication** Does your feedback serve as a learning tool? Have you prepared reflective questions? Is there an actionable next step for the teacher?